

Instruction for Reviewer

The editors are often asked questions concerning the content of the review and its proper drawing up. The review is prepared in a free form, every reviewer notes features peculiar just to a given article. Nevertheless, there are some general recommendations, which should be followed when reviewing.

The review is often created according to the following template:

1. The full title of the article, the position of the author of the article, full name of the author.
2. A brief description of the problem to which the article is devoted.
3. The degree of novelty of the article being reviewed.
4. The most important aspects revealed by the author in the article.
5. Recommendation for publication.
6. Scientific degree, scientific rank, place of work, full name of the reviewer, seal and signature.

First of all, the reviewer needs to estimate, whether the given article corresponds to the journal subject. In case of discrepancy to the journal profile the article is not considered.

Reviewers are asked to pay special attention to the abstract: the abstract should be written according to the rules of writing and drawing up the articles in a scientific journal, i.e. it should fully reflect the content of the article and at the same time interest the reader, serve as a kind of advertising.

It is necessary to estimate the quality of the material presentation: whether it is adequately and clearly presented; whether all the drawings and tables are relevant (whether the author tries to increase the size of the article artificially); whether there are technical mistakes.

It is necessary to identify logical, factual errors, assess the correctness of the terms use, etc. However, possible stylistic, punctuation, spelling and other errors should be ignored - in the future they will be eliminated by the editor, in addition, we draw the attention of reviewers to the fact that *the presence of such errors is not the basis for a negative review*.

It is necessary to estimate the validity of the material presented (whether the article contains the references of the authors and publications quoted, as well as the facts and results used in the article obtained by the other authors or organizations; whether the text contains hidden borrowings) and its scientific novelty.

7. The conclusion should be made in one of the three wordings:
 - a) the article may be accepted for the publication;
 - b) the article may not be accepted for the publication;
 - c) the article requires improvement and re-reviewing.

Phrases recommended for composing article review:

The author in his work does a detailed analysis...

The author correctly analyzes...

The author of this article focuses on...

The author demonstrates a high level of knowledge in the field of...

The author proves on certain examples...

The author being based on a large factual material examines...

The author draws attention to the fact that...

The author rightly notes...

The author successfully argues his own point of view...

The author develops original ideas...
The relevance of this study is evident in...
As the main points of the methodology used by the author...
In the article the author examines...
The article analyzes the main approaches...
The main problems are identified and developed in the article...
This article demonstrates...
The author has studied (presented, outlined, described) in detail...
That is why this paper pays considerable attention to...
The positive aspects of the work include...
As a positive fact it can be noted that...
The article's material is based on a detailed analysis...
It should be emphasized that...
The article pays special attention to...
Of particular interest is the conclusion that...
The practical significance of this article is...
The proposed approach to study...
The original concept discussed in the article...
The reviewed article is a serious and interesting work on a rather rare topic...
It should be noted that this article reveals a number of interesting aspects...
The article contains a certain concept, the essence of which...
The theoretical significance of this article is...
The reviewed work is distinguished by the novelty and evidence of a number of ideas...
The content of the article is logically interconnected and confirmed by quotations from authoritative sources...
The references cited in this article reflect a modern point of view on the problem under study, the essence of which ...
The article is written at a high scientific level and contains a number of conclusions of practical interest to...

Special attention of the reviewers is paid to the fact that the articles are the property of the authors and relate to information that is not subject to disclosure. Reviewers are neither allowed to make copies of articles for their needs, nor are allowed to use the presented materials.